



PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

4 April 20, 2011 - 10:15 a.m.

NHPUC MAYO4'11 PM 1:44

Concord, New Hampshire

5 6

7

8

3

RE: DG 11-040

NATIONAL GRID USA, ET AL:

Joint Petition for Authority to Transfer Ownership of Granite State Electric and EnergyNorth Natural

Gas, Inc. to Liberty Energy Utilities

Corp. (Prehearing conference)

10

11 12

13

14

PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding

Commissioner Clifton C. Below Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius

Sandy Deno, Clerk

15

16

17

APPEARANCES: Reptg. National Grid USA, et al:

Steven V. Camerino, Esq. (McLane, Graf...)
Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq. (McLane, Graf...)
Celia O'Brien, Esq. (Asst. General Counsel)

18

19 Reptg. Liberty Energy Utilities Corp.:

Victor D. Del Vecchio, Esq.

Mary Lou McDonald, Esq. (General Counsel)

21

20

22

23 COURT REPORTER: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52

24



1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(Continued)
3		Reptg. International Brotherhood of
4		Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 326: James G. Red Simpson, Business Manager
5		Reptg. United Steel Workers of America Local 12012-3:
6		Shawn J. Sullivan, Esq.
7		Reptg. The Way Home, Pamela Locke, and John Martino:
8		Alan Linder, Esq. (N.H. Legal Assistance)
9		Reptg. Granite State Hydropower Association: Howard M. Moffett, Esq. (Orr & Reno)
10		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Meredith Hatfield, Esq., Consumer Advocate Rorie E. P. Hollenberg, Esq.
12		Kenneth E. Traum, Asst. Consumer Advocate Stephen R. Eckberg
13		Office of Consumer Advocate
14		Reptg. the NHPUC Staff: Lynn Fabrizio, Esq.
15		Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div. Amanda O. Noonan, Dir./Consumer Affairs Div.
16		Thomas C. Frantz, Director/Electric Division Randall Knepper, Director/Safety Division
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning, everyone. We'll open the prehearing conference in Docket DG 11-040. On March 4, 2011, National Grid and Liberty Energy Utilities filed a joint petition for authority to transfer ownership of Granite State Electric and EnergyNorth Natural Gas to Liberty Energy NH. The aggregate purchase price to be paid for the stock of Granite State Electric is \$83 million less certain existing indebtedness, and the aggregate purchase price for the stock of EnergyNorth is \$202 million.

We issued an order of notice on March 29 setting the prehearing conference for this morning. I also note for the record that we have the Office of Consumer Advocate's Notice of Participation. We have Petitions to Intervene filed by the United Steel Workers of America, Granite State Hydropower Association, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. We have three Petitions to Intervene filed by New Hampshire Legal Assistance, on behalf of Pamela Locke, The Way Home, and John Martino. And, we also have a Petition to Intervene by the Business & Industry Association. We have some comments filed by the Town of Hanover. And, I also note that the Applicants have filed the affidavit of

1 publication.

So, before we hear a brief summary of the positions of parties, let's go around the room and take appearances, so I'll know who's here. And, then, if there's anyone else who is seeking to intervene, if they could indicate at that time.

So, beginning with Mr. Del Vecchio.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioner Below, Commissioner Ignatius. Victor Del Vecchio, representing Liberty Energy Utilities and Liberty Energy Utilities New Hampshire. With me today, at the table actually, is Ian Robertson, the Chief Executive Officer of Algonquin Power & Utilities Corp; Peter Eichler, who is the Manager of Financial Planning, responsible for regulatory and ratemaking matters; David Pasieka, who is the interim President of Liberty Energy New Hampshire; and Mary Lou McDonald, the General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Algonquin Power.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning, everyone.

MR. CAMERINO: Good morning,

Commissioners. Steve Camerino and Sarah Knowlton, from McLane, Graf, Raulerson & Middleton, and Celia O'Brien, Assistant General Counsel for National Grid, appearing on

1	behalf of National Grid USA and its affiliates. And, also
2	with us at counsel table this morning are Tim Horan,
3	President for National Grid's New Hampshire and Rhode
4	Island operations. And, at the end of the table, Phil
5	DeCicco, Senior Counsel for National Grid.
6	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
7	MR. SIMPSON: Good morning,
8	Commissioners. I'm James Red Simpson, Business Manager
9	and Financial Secretary for IBEW Local 326, which
10	represents the workers of the Salem, New Hampshire
11	platform.
12	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
13	MR. LINDER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman
14	and Commissioners. My name is Alan Linder, an attorney
15	from New Hampshire Legal Assistance. And, we represent
16	The Way Home, a low-income organization; we represent
17	Pamela Locke, a low-income customer of
18	EnergyNorth/National Grid; and we represent John Martino,
19	a low-income customer of Granite State Electric.
20	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
21	MR. LINDER: Good morning.
22	MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning,
23	Commissioners. Attorney Shawn Sullivan, representing
24	United Steel Workers Local 12012. I'm joined here by

1	Kenneth Spottiswood, the Union Chairman of that bargaining
2	unit.
3	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
4	MR. MOFFETT: Good morning,
5	Commissioners. I am Howard Moffett, from Orr & Reno, in
6	Concord, here this morning to represent Granite State
7	Hydropower Association.
8	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
9	MS. HATFIELD: Good morning,
10	Commissioners. Meredith Hatfield, for the Office of
11	Consumer Advocate, on behalf of residential ratepayers.
12	And, with me for the Office are Rorie Hollenberg, Ken
13	Traum, and Steve Eckberg.
14	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.
15	MS. FABRIZIO: Good morning,
16	Commissioners. Lynn Fabrizio, on behalf of Staff. And,
17	with me at the table today are Steve Frink, the Assistant
18	Director of the Gas Division; Amanda Noonan, Director of
19	the Consumer Affairs Division; Tom Frantz, Director of the
20	Electric Division; and Randy Knepper, Director of the
21	Safety Division.
22	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. So, it
23	looks like everyone who has filed a written Petition to
24	Intervene is represented here this morning. And, take it

1	that no oral Petitions to Intervene that are being put
2	forth this morning.
3	So, Mr. Del Vecchio, first I'd ask, do
4	you have any objections to any of the Petitions to
5	Intervenor?
6	MR. DEL VECCHIO: No, Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, Mr. Camerino?
8	MR. CAMERINO: No objections.
9	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you.
10	CMSR. IGNATIUS: I did have one
11	question. Mr. Sullivan, in looking at the Petition for
12	Intervention by the United Steel Workers, you stated that
13	there are citizens of the State of New Hampshire who are
14	members of the union employed by National Grid.
15	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, there are.
16	CMSR. IGNATIUS: Are there people who
17	you represent who are actual employees of the New
18	Hampshire operation?
19	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes. There are about 80
20	of them.
21	CMSR. IGNATIUS: All right. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, let me amend my
23	earlier statement. I said "it looked like that everyone
24	who has petitioned to intervene has made an appearance

1 today", and I believe there's a Petition to Intervene by 2 the Business & Industry Association, and does not appear 3 to be anyone here today to make an appearance. 4 So, let's begin, Mr. Del Vecchio, an 5 opportunity to state the position of the Applicant. 6 MR. DEL VECCHIO: Thank you. Mr. 7 Chairman, I think Mr. Camerino would like to start. CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Camerino. 8 9 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. CAMERINO: 10 First, let me just start with a brief overview of the 11 transaction to orient the Commission. This is, from a corporate standpoint, is a sale of the stock of Granite 12 13 State Electric and EnergyNorth. And, to the extent 14 applicable, the companies are seeking approval under RSA 15 374:30 and 374:33. We are not seeking to proceed under 16 the more expedited statute, 369, Section 8. We recognize 17 that the Commission will expect to conduct a fairly 18 detailed investigation of this transaction, and we would -- are not asking that the Commission comply with the time 19 20 frames under that statute. 21 From a corporate transactional 22 standpoint, the acquisition is really quite 23 straightforward. There is no change in the ownership of 24 the assets of the entities. Those will remain with

Granite State Electric and EnergyNorth, which are the utilities that are subject to this Commission's jurisdiction. What is changing is the ownership of those two utilities.

At the ground level, however, there are really no changes at all. The field personnel who are employed by those entities will remain employed by those entities. The day-to-day operations of those entities will remain the same, subject to the descriptions that are in the testimony regarding the operating philosophy of Algonquin. The assets that are owned by those utilities will all remain the same and will remain owned by those utilities.

In addition to the employees continuing with those utilities, there are a significant number of National Grid Service Company employees who will become employees of the local utilities or the appropriate Liberty Energy entity providing services to those New Hampshire utilities on an ongoing basis.

There are three other approvals that the parties are seeking as part of this transaction from other regulatory bodies. The first is from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Some of the Granite State Electric assets are subject to FERC jurisdiction, and that approval

is pending. It's expected in late May, perhaps mid June. The second is what's referred to as "CFIUS", the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States. That approval has already been received. The third is the Hart-Scott-Rodino antitrust approval that's required from the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission, and that approval has been received.

A fair amount of this filing addresses the transition process or the transition services to be provided by National Grid to Liberty Energy after the transaction closes. We want to make clear that National Grid is committed to providing transition services to Liberty over a time period that will provide the Commission with the assurance that it needs, that customer service and the safety and reliability of the electric and gas distribution systems will be maintained at the levels that the Commission expects and the customers deserve.

Transition services will remain in place, being provided by National Grid, until Liberty is ready to take over those services. And, that will be determined on a service-by-service basis, as opposed to some kind of clean-cut, where everything moves over on one day. It will be determined and transferred service by service.

During the Transition Period, those services will be provided by the same National Grid personnel, the same National Grid systems, as was provided prior to the closing, and, therefore, there should be no change in quality of any kind.

After the transition, the Liberty personnel, who will be providing services to customers, will be primarily the same people who were formerly employed by National Grid at the service company level or by the two utilities at the operating company level. And, again, we believe that that will ensure consistent quality, both during the transition and afterwards.

So, you'll notice that the Transition

Service Agreements are designed to be comprehensive. By

that, I mean that they have identified every possible

service that Liberty Energy might need from National Grid

during the transition process. That doesn't mean that

Liberty Energy will take all of those services, and they

are in the process of determining which services will be

needed post closing. And, we are also in the process of

discussing for how long those may be needed. So, they're

designed to be comprehensive to give Liberty Energy access

to all those services. And, as we move forward, we will

determine which ones are actually needed and for how long.

The transaction comes about as a result of National Grid's efforts to refocus its own distribution utility business in those jurisdictions where it has a larger presence. For National Grid, as you're aware, New Hampshire constitutes about two percent of its entire business. For Algonquin and Liberty Energy, that figure will be more like 20 percent. We think that that provides a real benefit to New Hampshire, because the transaction will give New Hampshire an opportunity to have a more localized presence from these two utilities and restore greater local control, which we believe is something that we've heard from a number of parties they would like to

see.

From an overall standpoint, we think that the transaction more than meets the "public interest" standard. There's no adverse impact to customers. We think, as I said, there will be an opportunity for more localized management and control. We know that New Hampshire will constitute a significantly larger portion of the total overall corporate business. And, we think that that's something that the Commission will look favorably upon.

In sum, we're prepared to undergo the discovery process with the Staff and other parties. We

look forward to responding to questions. We know that there are key areas that the Staff is going to want more information before they can take a final position. And, we very much look forward to cooperating with them, the Consumer Advocate, and the other intervenors, assisting the Commission with its investigation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Thank you.

MR. DEL VECCHIO: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. Today, I'd like to discuss what we believe is an opportunity in New Hampshire for increased visibility, increased presence and increased labor force returning to the state. I won't, Mr. Chairman, focus on the details of the transaction, which I think Mr. Camerino bravely addressed, but I would like to discuss briefly some of the reasons why we believe that the transaction is lawful and consistent with public good.

First, Algonquin is one of the largest commercial power and generator and utilities companies in Canada. Algonquin owns and operates an approximately \$1.1 billion portfolio of renewable power electric generation and utility companies operating across North America and serves approximately 125,000 utility customers in the United States. Customers who, by the way, rely on the critical services provided by the Algonquin family of

companies for power and for water.

Algonquin has been a model citizen in

New Hampshire since 1998, employing 13 people and

providing 18 megawatts of production capacity through its

hydroelectric facilities headquartered in Tilton. Over

50 percent of Algonquin's revenues are generated through

its U.S.-based operations. Its power generation unit

includes 45 renewable power generating facilities and 12

high-efficiency thermal generating facilities located in

six United States' states and Canada. Its utility

services unit, in turn, operates 20 regulated utilities

located in five states that provide water, wastewater, and

electric utility service to consumers.

Second, Granite State and EnergyNorth will become locally managed and operated upon completion of the transaction, returning a significant number of skilled and well-paying jobs to New Hampshire. Among other things, Mr. Chairman, I would focus on: The fact that Liberty Energy intends to designate a New Hampshire-based president, who will be employed in New Hampshire and will have full-time responsibility for the day-to-day operations of the two companies. Granite State's and EnergyNorth's existing field operations, as Mr. Camerino indicated, will largely remain unchanged as a

result of the transaction. Liberty Energy plans to employ within New Hampshire the individuals necessary to deliver most of the services that National Grid now provides from service locations situated in service company facilities in Massachusetts and New York. And, in that regard, Liberty will establish and maintain a New Hampshire headquarters and operations center for all core functions of Granite State and EnergyNorth that are returned to New Hampshire, establishing walk-in centers in the state to enhance face-to-face customer interaction and overall customer satisfaction.

Third, Liberty is committed to continuing to invest the capital necessary to provide safe and reliable utility service in the state, as the docket will demonstrate, and Algonquin and its affiliates are capable and responsible owners for whom the Granite State/EnergyNorth assets represent an excellent strategic fit.

Fourth, the history of the Algonquin companies demonstrates that the companies are focused on investing in assets with the expectation that they will hold them for the long term. Along those lines, the Algonquin companies manage their businesses with the goal of best-in-class service, with an emphasis on reinvestment

and building strong regulatory relationships. During the ten years in which Algonquin has operated and acquired utility businesses, it has not sold any of those utilities. Its history of successfully and safely operating a large number of utilities demonstrates its ability to transition the management of the two utilities upon conclusion of this transaction.

Fifth, the two utilities will also have the ongoing support of National Grid, as we heard Mr. Camerino also discuss. Specifically, National Grid will continue to provide services in all functional areas in which the local utilities are not ready to operate on a stand-alone basis at the time of the closing. The TSAs will continue in place with regard to each such service until the local utilities are fully ready to assume them.

And, finally, Liberty Energy New
Hampshire does not intend to cause either Granite State or
EnergyNorth to seek rate recovery of any acquisition
premium or transaction costs arising from the stock
transfer, nor does it have plans to make any substantive
changes to either Granite State's or EnergyNorth's tariffs
as a result of the transaction.

In short, Commissioners, Liberty New Hampshire is confident that it can deliver the highest

level of service and regulatory responsiveness while maintaining an overall cost structure that is consistent with what customers could reasonably have expected under current ownership. We know, and I think the people at this table know, that there are some who have expressed concern regarding whether Liberty Energy New Hampshire should be authorized to purchase these utilities. And, we understand that the burden of proof in this regard rests with the Companies. We are confident, however, Commissioners, that our Petition and the discovery in this case will show not only that Liberty is well qualified to operate the Granite State and EnergyNorth utilities, but also that the customers will benefit from the operating model and regulatory approach that Liberty plans to bring to New Hampshire. We believe that the Commission will find that Liberty will be a constructive, responsive and capable new partner in New Hampshire, permitting customers to receive first class service at just and reasonable rates. The Companies look forward,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The Companies look forward,

Commissioners, to addressing any questions that may arise

during the course of this proceeding, and I thank you for

the opportunity of having given this preliminary statement

now.

1 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Linder.

2 MR. LINDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and

Commissioners. Our low-income clients' main concern is

4 that the new owner, if approved, have the same level of

5 commitment to the low income programs that National Grid

6 has and has had for a number of years. The five main low

7 income-based programs are Granite State Electric

8 participates in the Low Income Bill Assistance Electric

9 Assistance Program, and our clients would like to see that

10 commitment of National Grid maintained. Secondly,

11 EnergyNorth/National Grid has a Low Income Gas Rate

12 Discount and the R-4 rate, and we would like to see the

13 | new owner assume the same level of commitment that

14 | EnergyNorth/National Grid has shown over the years towards

15 that low income rate. Third, National Grid

16 Gas/EnergyNorth participates in the Low Income Energy

17 Efficiency Program, along with the other energy efficiency

18 | programs that the gas company participates in. Fourth,

19 | National Grid participates in the Low Income Electric

20 | Energy Efficiency Program, which is part of the Statewide

21 | Core Energy Efficiency Programs that serve

22 commercial/industrial and residential customers, including

23 low income customers.

24

3

And, finally, National Grid, through the

```
years, has shown a high level of commitment towards its
 1
 2
       philanthropic endeavors, which includes the
 3
       Neighbor-Helping-Neighbor Program, which provides bill
 4
       assistance to those lower income customers who, for some
 5
       reason, may not qualify for the current Electric
 6
       Assistance Program assistance and the Low Income Gas
 7
       Discount Assistance Program.
 8
                         So, that is the major concerns of the
 9
       low-income clients whom we represent. Thank you.
10
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Simpson.
11
                         MR. SIMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12
       Good morning, Commission. As I've stated earlier, my name
13
       is James Simpson. I'm the Business Manager and Financial
14
       Secretary.
15
                         (Court reporter interruption.)
16
                         MR. SIMPSON: I'm sorry.
                                                   I'm the
17
       Business Manager of --
18
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: You can sit.
                                                       It might
19
      be easier for the court reporter.
20
                         MR. SIMPSON: As I had -- is that
21
      better?
22
                         MR. PATNAUDE: Yes.
23
                         MR. SIMPSON: As I had stated earlier, I
24
       represent a number of employees in Granite State Electric
```

that work out of the Salem, New Hampshire Division Office.

I also represent a number of employees with National Grid
in Massachusetts, which performs the support functions
today for Salem, New Hampshire.

Since Algonquin and Liberty Power has come on board, and we have had numerous discussions as to the future of the Granite State group, they have showed a remarkable acceptance of the Union, and a willingness to work with the Union, as far as making sure the manpower levels are correct for the work that needs to be provided. Which is a real breath of fresh air, if I may be so liberal about it, as far as some of these companies are concerned these days. The IBEW is extremely optimistic about this plan going forward, and would ask that you look on it favorably.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.

Mr. Sullivan.

MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I stated previously, I represent the interests of the United Steel Workers, Local 12012, 80 members strong in New Hampshire. We provide the gas service from Berlin through southern New Hampshire. I represent the people who work in the field and maintain the system, they improve the system. We have been accepted in previous

proceedings involving prior iterations of these companies, being KeySpan, National Grid, at the PUC, we've been intervenors. My group brings knowledge, experience in areas of safety, efficiency. And, we look forward to working with the other parties here in this process to maintain safety, efficiency, at reasonable rates. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Moffett.

MR. MOFFETT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Granite State Hydropower Association is here in order to see how this transaction is going to be affected by the Commission's implementation of the Affiliate Transaction Rules for public utilities, and, at the outside, the restructuring statutes.

Our interest arises from the circumstance that Algonquin is presently involved as an owner of generation facilities in New Hampshire, specifically eight small hydroelectric projects, as far as we understand. Granite State Hydropower Association represents approximately 45 similarly situated small hydro projects that are not owned by Algonquin. And, so, our interest is in understanding how, as this transaction moves forward, the Companies and the Commission will propose to bring the Affiliate Transaction Rules to bear

at a minimum. And, at the outside, we think it could raise questions about the ownership of those generation facilities under the restructuring statute.

Granite State doesn't take any position

-- Granite state Hydropower Association doesn't take any
position on that issue at the moment. But we are very
interested in the question. We do not oppose the
transaction, but we're very interested in seeing how this
issue is handled as part of the transaction.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.

Ms. Hatfield.

MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The OCA is still in the process of reviewing the Company's filing. And, we look forward to working with the Companies, Staff, and the intervenors to thoroughly review the filing. And, I wanted to just mention a few key areas that we'll be focusing on. One is the issue of Transition Service Agreements, including the costs of those agreements, and also the processes that are put in place to determine when a particular service or system is ready for cutover.

We're also looking at the fact that this is Liberty's first acquisition of a gas utility, and we think that raises a lot of issues related to the operation

of that particular utility, including safety and other related issues.

And, we look forward to working with all of the parties. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.

Ms. Fabrizio.

MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Commissioners. This is an important docket for New Hampshire ratepayers and the transaction is one with many moving parts. While Staff has not yet developed a detailed position on the Joint Petition, it has identified a number of areas that merit careful review and further investigation. Key areas include Algonquin's experience and ability to operate electric and gas distribution companies, the proposed IT system, staffing issues, the proposed financing arrangements, Algonquin's emergency response capabilities, and implementation of the transition.

Algonquin has very limited experience in operating an electric utility and no experience operating a natural gas utility. While National Grid employees currently located in New Hampshire and performing New Hampshire field operations will be retained, virtually all of Granite's and EnergyNorth's regulatory, engineering,

gas supply, dispatch, meter testing, marketing, accounting, and customer service functions are currently performed by personnel employed by National Grid's affiliates in Massachusetts and New York. A number of those employees, as we've heard this morning, are expected to be offered positions with Algonquin, but it is unclear as yet how many and at what cost. Staff will need to explore the impact staffing changes may have on Granite and EnergyNorth rates, services, and operations. example, the Stock Purchase Agreements require Algonquin to provide the same compensation and benefits to National Grid employees taking positions with Algonquin as they are currently receiving with National Grid. While the wages and benefits of those employees reflect a higher cost of living than would be expected for New Hampshire, under National Grid only a small portion of the overall service company costs are allocated to Granite and EnergyNorth. Under Algonquin, the cost of these employees will be fully borne by New Hampshire. In addition, many, if not all, of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In addition, many, if not all, of the operational systems supporting National Grid's utility functions in New Hampshire are not included as part of the transfer. Staff plans to seek much more detailed information regarding the system Algonquin plans to use to

support its billing and customer service functions, as well as other operational systems, such as outage management, accounting, energy supply planning, energy contracting, mapping, integrated resource planning, and energy efficiency planning. The Commission is well aware of the potential impact that less-than-functional operational systems could have on customers and safe and reliable service. Staff would like to ensure that the Commission has the information it needs to assess Algonquin's capability to manage and operate the Granite and EnergyNorth systems.

Algonquin is proposing to refinance both Granite and EnergyNorth with a yet to be determined capital structure and weighted cost of capital. According to the Petition, Algonquin will be seeking authority for the debt portion of the financing in a petition to be filed at some point later in the course of this proceeding. Without knowing the terms and conditions of the new financing and the expected cost structure for the Companies, it is impossible to determine what the impact on future rates will be. In order to rule on the Petition, the Commission needs to be fully informed of the proposed terms of financing and able to evaluate the effects of the acquisition as a whole. Staff anticipates

close scrutiny of the financing details when they are filed.

In addition to concerns regarding rate impacts and the systems required to support utility operations in New Hampshire, there are a number of general safety concerns that merit further inquiry. Granite and EnergyNorth currently are signatories to the National Grid Mutual Assistance Agreement through which affiliate companies assist in emergency response efforts. Because of the number, size, and proximity of its affiliates, National Grid is well positioned to provide emergency services to Granite and EnergyNorth. Staff will be looking at Algonquin's emergency response plans, including its ability to secure assistance in emergency situations.

Finally, the Petitioners have noted that the Stock Purchase Agreements will terminate if the stock transfers are not consummated by or on September 30, 2011; however, the Companies have the right to extend the agreements through March 30th, 2012. Given the numerous issues raised by the Petition, Staff believes a comprehensive and meaningful review of the Petition is not possible to conclude prior to September 30. We will be working, however, with the Petitioners and intervenors in today's tech session to develop a procedural schedule that

will try to allow for completion of the acquisition by 1 2 March 30th, 2012. Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. 4 (Chairman and Commissioners conferring.) 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Opportunity to respond 6 to anything, Mr. Camerino? Mr. Del Vecchio? 7 MR. DEL VECCHIO: No thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Two things. One 10 is, administratively, there's the Motion for Protective 11 Order and Confidential Treatment, that a secretarial letter was issued on September 7th, instructing the 12 13 Companies to -- April 7th, to refile. So, I assume that's 14 something that's in progress? 15 MR. CAMERINO: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Two 16 points on that, actually. One is that, I believe the 17 Consumer Advocate had indicated there was an agreement to 18 remove some of the redactions, if I can put it that way, 19 and bring into the public record some of the things that 20 the Company had originally sought protection of. And, I 21 would just like to identify for the record here today where those changes are, so that, when the Commission 22 23 rules on the motion, it's aware that those are no longer 24 in play.

And, the second is, our intention was, as soon as we got an order from the Commission indicating what it had approved confidentiality of, we would then refile those schedules in compliance with the letter. We wanted to avoid filing them twice, and we didn't know what action might be taken on the motion and what further discussion there might be today. So, that was our plan, was to await a Commission order on the motion, if that's

okay with the Commission?

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Is there any other discussion about that? It wasn't clear to me that that was what the expected process was to rule on the motion, that there was going to be some refiling, and then — and then reviewing it. But it sounds like there's still a dispute?

MR. CAMERINO: I don't believe there is any dispute. And, we will obviously do whatever the Commission asks us to do. But our hope was to avoid filing the redacted schedules in the fashion that the Commission had indicated, and then being told that some of that material couldn't be protected and we had to file again. So, that was the reason for holding off until today's hearing.

And, as I indicated, the other thing I

would like to do is just clearly identify for the 1 2 Commission those areas that the Companies have now agreed 3 are not -- for which it's not seeking confidentiality. CHAIRMAN GETZ: Well, that would be 4 5 useful. 6 MR. CAMERINO: Okay. So, I'll just read 7 those quickly into the record. With regard to the EnergyNorth Schedule, Section 1.1(a), regarding "Corporate 8 9 Employees", the Company agrees not to redact the columns 10 headed "Job Title", "Band", "Union Code", Work Location", 11 and "Company". Section 1.1(f) of the EnergyNorth disclosure schedules, which covers "Represented 12 13 Employees", the Company agrees not to redact the columns 14 headed "Union Code", "Work Location", and "Company". 15 the EnergyNorth disclosure schedules, Section 5.8, 16 regarding "Environmental Matters", the Company will redact 17 only the last sentences of Paragraphs 3(a), (e) and (f), 18 which pertain to confidential settlement agreements with 19 third parties. The remaining portions of those 20 paragraphs, which describe the Keene, Concord, Manchester 21 former MGPs will not be redacted. 22 And, then, there are two Granite State 23 Electric schedules, Section 1.1(a), regarding "Corporate

Employees", the Companies will not redact the columns

24

headed "Company", "Job Title", "Band", "Code", "Location", 1 2 and "Pay Status". And, Section 1.1(f) [e?] of the 3 schedules, regarding "Represented Employees", the 4 Companies will not redact the columns headed "Company", 5 "Union Code", "Location", and "Pay Status". Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. 7 Ms. Hatfield, is there any, or Staff, any comment? MS. HATFIELD: We want to thank the 8 9 Company for the description they just gave of the 10 materials they're willing to make public. And, we also 11 appreciate that, in the Commission's letter, we believe that you directed the Company to more clearly mark where 12 13 things are redacted, so that one does not have to have the 14 two documents side-by-side in order to ensure that one is 15 properly protecting confidential material. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. 17 Ms. Fabrizio, anything on that issue? 18 MS. FABRIZIO: No. 19 CHAIRMAN GETZ: All right. Then, the 20 last thing is with respect to all of the Petitions to 21 Intervene. We find that the Petitioners have demonstrated 22 rights that may be affected by the proceeding. And, we 23 have concluded that the interest of justice and orderly 24 and prompt conduct of the hearings would not be impaired

1	by allowing all of the interventions.
2	So, is there anything else we need to
3	address this morning, before the parties move into the
4	technical session?
5	(No verbal response)
6	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Hearing nothing,
7	then we'll await a recommendation from the parties as to
8	the procedural schedule. Thank you, everyone.
9	(Whereupon the prehearing conference
10	ended at 10:53 a.m., and the parties
11	conducted a technical session
12	thereafter.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	